

# EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY OF CERTAIN MODULI OF WEIGHTED POINTED RATIONAL CURVES

CHITRABHANU CHAUDHURI

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [8] Hassett introduces and studies the moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves. A weighted pointed curve is a nodal curve with a sequence of smooth marked points, each assigned a rational number between 0 and 1. A subset of the marked points may coincide if the sum of their weights is at most 1.

The moduli spaces are connected, smooth and proper Deligne-Mumford stacks. In the special case of genus zero the moduli spaces are smooth projective varieties. Throughout this paper we work over  $\mathbb{C}$  as the base field and we always consider cohomology with  $\mathbb{C}$  coefficients.

Consider the weight data

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{A}(m, n) = \left( \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_m, \underbrace{1/n, \dots, 1/n}_n \right) \quad m + n \geq 3, \quad m \geq 2.$$

Let

$$\overline{M}_{0, m|n} = \overline{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}(m, n)}.$$

$\overline{M}_{0, m|n}$  parametrises nodal curves with  $m+n$  smooth marked points such that the first  $m$  marked points are distinct but any subset of the last  $n$  marked points can coincide. There is naturally an action of  $S_m \times S_n$  on  $\overline{M}_{0, m|n}$ . Here  $S_m$  permutes the first  $m$  marked points and  $S_n$  permutes the last  $n$ .

In this paper we study the induced action of  $S_m \times S_n$  on the cohomology of  $\overline{M}_{0, m|n}$  and calculate the equivariant Poincaré polynomial for some small values of  $m$  and  $n$ .

Let  $M_{0, m|n}$  be the interior of the the moduli space, parametrizing only the smooth curves. We first derive the  $S_m \times S_n$  character on  $H^*(M_{0, m|n})$ , and write down a generating function for the characters. We then describe a recipe for calculating the generating function for the  $S_m \times S_n$  character of  $H^*(\overline{M}_{0, m|n})$ . This is achieved by analysing a spectral sequence relating the cohomology of  $M_{0, m|n}$  to that of  $\overline{M}_{0, m|n}$ .

It should be noted that when  $n = 0$ , we simply get the moduli of stable rational curves with  $m$  marked points. In this case the equivariant cohomology was studied by Getzler [6].

In another direction when  $m = 2$ , the moduli spaces under consideration are the Losev- Manin spaces of [9]. The  $S_2 \times S_n$  action on the cohomology was determined in this case by Bergström and Minabe [3].

Finally Bergstrom and Minabe [2] give a recursive method for calculating the equivariant Poincaré polynomial of  $\overline{M}_{0, m|n}$  for all  $m$  and  $n$ . However our method seems more direct. We use techniques developed by Getzler [6] and Getzler and Kapranov [7]. We adopt the notation  $\overline{M}_{0, m|n}$  from [3].

**Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful for fruitful discussions with Prasit Bhattacharya, Ezra Getzler and Ronnie Sebastian. A major part of the work was done while the author was a postdoctoral fellow at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON $\overline{M}_{0, m|n}$

Let  $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$  be as in (1). Following Hassett [8],  $\overline{M}_{0, m|n}$  is the moduli of weighted pointed stable curves of of genus zero corresponding to the weight data  $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$ .

When  $m > 3$ ,  $\overline{M}_{0,m|0}$  is simply the moduli of stable rational curves with  $m$  marked points. We abbreviate it as  $\overline{M}_{0,m}$ .

Denote by  $M_{0,m|n}$  the open subvariety parametrising the smooth curves.

**2.1. The stable curves.** An  $\mathcal{A}(m,n)$ -stable curve  $(C; p_1, \dots, p_{m+n})$  is a nodal curve with smooth marked points  $p_i$ . The marked points of  $C$  along with the nodes will be called special points. We shall call the first  $m$  marked points along with the nodes special points of type 1, whereas the last  $n$  marked points will be referred to as special points of type 2. The curve  $C$  satisfies the following,

- Arithmetic genus of  $C$  is 0.
- The points  $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$  are all distinct.
- Any subset of the points  $\{p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{m+n}\}$  can coincide, but these points are all distinct from  $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ .
- Any irreducible component of  $C$  has at least 3 special points with at least 2 of type 1.

The varieties  $\overline{M}_{0,m|n}$  are smooth and projective and  $\overline{M}_{0,m|n} \setminus M_{0,m|n}$  is a divisor with normal crossings. Ceyhan [4] studies the cohomology of  $\overline{M}_{0,\mathbf{A}}$ , for any weight data  $\mathbf{A}$ . As a special case it follows that all the cohomology of  $\overline{M}_{0,m|n}$  is algebraic. This means that all the odd degree cohomology groups vanish and the even cohomology groups are isomorphic to the Chow groups

$$H^{2i+1}(\overline{M}_{0,m|n}, \mathbb{Q}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^{2i}(\overline{M}_{0,m|n}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong A^i(\overline{M}_{0,m|n}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

**2.2. Dual graphs.** A graph will be a triple  $(F, V, \sigma)$ . Where

- (1)  $F$  is the set of flags;
- (2)  $V$  is a partition of  $F$ ;
- (3)  $\sigma$  is an involution on  $F$ .

The parts of  $V$  are the vertices of the graph. For  $v \in V$ , let  $F(v) = \{f \in v \mid f \in F\}$  be the flags incident on  $v$ . The fixed points of  $\sigma$  are the leaves. The set of leaves will be denoted by  $L$ , and those incident on a vertex  $v$  denoted as  $L(v)$ . The two cycles of  $\sigma$  will be the edges of the graph and the set of edges denoted by  $E$ .

**Colouring of a graph**  $G$  consists of a set  $X$  and a function  $c : F(G) \rightarrow X$  such that  $c(f) = c(\sigma f)$  for every flag  $f$ . A colouring assigns a colour (an element of  $X$ ) to each flag such that both flags of an edge have the same colour. It thus makes sense to talk about the colour of an edge.

**Geometric realisation** of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $|G|$  is a topological space. It is the quotient space of, the collection of intervals indexed by the flags of  $G$ , by an equivalence relation.

$$|G| = \frac{F(G) \times [0, 1]}{\sim}$$

Here  $(f_1, 0) \sim (f_2, 0)$  if the flags  $f_1, f_2$  are incident on the same vertex and  $(f, 1) \sim (f', 1)$  if the flags  $f, f'$  are part of an edge.

A tree  $T$  is a graph such that  $|T|$  is connected and simply connected.

The dual graph of an  $\mathcal{A}(m,n)$ -stable curve is a tree coloured by  $\{1, 2\}$ . The tree has one flag for each marked point and two for each node. For every irreducible component it has a vertex. The marked points correspond to the leaves and the nodes correspond to the edges. The flags corresponding to the special points of type 1 have colour 1 where as the flags corresponding to the special points of type 2 have colour 2. Further the leaves are numbered 1 to  $m+n$  according to the marked point it represents.

**2.3. Strata of  $\overline{M}_{0,m|n}$ .** It is clear that the dual graphs of  $\mathcal{A}(m,n)$ -stable curves have to satisfy certain constraints. Let  $T$  be such a dual graph. For any vertex  $v \in V(T)$  let  $F_1(v)$  be the flags of colour 1 and  $F_2(v)$  the flags of colour 2. Then we must have  $|F(v)| \geq 3$  and  $|F_1(v)| \geq 2$ . Let us call such trees  $\mathcal{A}(m,n)$ -stable and denote the isomorphism classes of such trees by  $\mathbb{T}(m,n)$ .

For any  $T \in \mathbb{T}(m, n)$  let  $M(T)$  be the subvariety of  $\overline{M}_{0, m|n}$  parametrising curves whose dual graphs are isomorphic to  $T$ . Let  $\overline{M}(T)$  be the closure. It is clear that (see Ceyhan [4, Section 3])

$$M(T) \cong \prod_{v \in V(T)} M_{0, \#F_1(v)|\#F_2(v)} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{M}(T) \cong \prod_{v \in V(T)} \overline{M}_{0, \#F_1(v)|\#F_2(v)}.$$

The codimension of  $\overline{M}(T)$  is equal to the number of edges  $|E(T)|$  of  $T$ .

We have a stratification by dual graphs

$$\overline{M}_{0, m|n} = \bigsqcup_{T \in \mathbb{T}(m, n)} M(T).$$

### 3. SYMMETRIC GROUP REPRESENTATIONS

**3.1. Symmetric functions.** For results and notation of this section we refer to Macdonald [10]. Let  $\Lambda = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]^{S_n}$  be the ring of symmetric functions. It is well known that

$$\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[[p_1, p_2, \dots]]$$

where  $p_k = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^k$  are the power sums. Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  be a partition of  $n$ , which we denote by  $\lambda \vdash n$ ; define  $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1} \cdots p_{\lambda_k}$ . For an  $S_n$  representation  $V$  we define the symmetric function

$$\text{ch}_n(V) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{Tr}_V(\sigma) p_{\lambda(\sigma)},$$

here  $\lambda(\sigma)$  is the partition corresponding to the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$ .

The irreducible representations of  $S_n$  are indexed by partitions of  $n$ . For  $\lambda \vdash n$  let  $V_\lambda$  be the corresponding irreducible representation. The Schur functions also indexed by partitions of  $n$  are defined as

$$s_\lambda = \text{ch}_n(V_\lambda).$$

Schur functions  $\{s_\lambda \mid \lambda \vdash n, n \geq 1\}$  form an additive basis of  $\Lambda$ . There are also the elementary symmetric functions  $e_n = s_{1^n}$  and the complete symmetric functions  $h_n = s_n$ .

There is an associative product  $\circ$  on  $\Lambda$  called plethysm. It is characterised by the fact that

$$\text{ch}_n \left( \text{Ind}_{S_k \wr S_n}^{S_{kn}} V_1 \boxtimes V_2 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes V_2 \right) = \text{ch}_k(V_1) \circ \text{ch}_n(V_2),$$

where  $S_k \wr S_n$  is the wreath product  $S_k \times (S_n)^k$ ,  $V_1$  is a representation of  $S_k$  and  $V_2$  is a representation of  $S_n$ .

Let  $\Lambda^{(2)} = \Lambda \otimes \Lambda$ . We denote the symmetric functions in the first tensor factor by the superscript (1) and those in the second tensor factor by the superscript (2).

For  $V$  a representation of  $S_m \times S_n$  we define

$$\text{ch}_{m|n}(V) = \frac{1}{m! \times n!} \sum_{(\sigma, \tau) \in S_m \times S_n} \text{Tr}_V(\sigma, \tau) p_{\lambda(\sigma)}^{(1)} p_{\lambda(\tau)}^{(2)} \in \Lambda^{(2)}.$$

We shall need the following result later on.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $W$  be any representation of  $S_n$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  the following differential operator on  $\Lambda$*

$$\mathbf{D} = p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} - 1,$$

then

$$\text{ch}_n(W \otimes V_{(n-1, 1)}) = \mathbf{D} \text{ch}_n(W).$$

$V_{(n-1, 1)}$  is the irreducible representation corresponding to the partition  $(n-1, 1)$  and often referred to as the standard representation of  $S_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\text{fix}(\sigma)$  denote the number of fixed points of  $\sigma \in S_n$ . Recall that  $\text{Tr}_{V_{(n-1,1)}}(\sigma) = \text{fix}(\sigma) - 1$ . Also note that  $\lambda(\sigma) = (1^{\text{fix}(\sigma)}, 2^{a_2}, \dots)$ ; so  $p_{\lambda(\sigma)} = p_1^{\text{fix}(\sigma)} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_n^{a_n}$ . Thus

$$p_1 \frac{\partial p_{\lambda(\sigma)}}{\partial p_1} = \text{fix}(\sigma) p_{\lambda(\sigma)} .$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_n(W \otimes V_{(n-1,1)}) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (\text{fix}(\sigma) - 1) \text{Tr}_W(\sigma) p_{\lambda(\sigma)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{Tr}_W(\sigma) \left( p_1 \frac{\partial p_{\lambda(\sigma)}}{\partial p_1} - p_{\lambda(\sigma)} \right) = p_1 \frac{\partial \text{ch}_n(W)}{\partial p_1} - \text{ch}_n(W) . \end{aligned}$$

□

**3.2.  $\mathbb{S}$  modules.** An  $\mathbb{S}$  module (as in [6, §1])  $\mathcal{V}$  is a sequence of graded vector spaces  $\{\mathcal{V}(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with an action of  $S_n$  on  $\mathcal{V}(n)$ . The characteristic of an  $\mathbb{S}$  module is defined as a symmetric series in  $\Lambda[[t]]$

$$\text{ch}_t(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-t)^i \text{ch}_n(\mathcal{V}^i(n)) .$$

Here  $\mathcal{V}^i(n)$  is the  $i$ -th graded component of  $\mathcal{V}(n)$ .

Similarly an  $\mathbb{S}^2$  module  $\mathcal{W}$  is a collection of graded vector spaces  $\{\mathcal{W}(m, n) \mid (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2\}$ , with an action of  $S_m \times S_n$  on  $\mathcal{W}(m, n)$ . We define the characteristic in an analogous way

$$\text{ch}_t(\mathcal{W}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-t)^i \text{ch}_{m|n}(\mathcal{W}^i(m, n)) \in \Lambda^{(2)}[[t]] .$$

In the case of an ungraded  $\mathbb{S}^2$  module  $\mathcal{W}$  we write the characteristic as  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{W})$ . We define the  $\mathbb{S}^2$  module  $\mathbb{T}\mathcal{W}$  in the following way

$$\mathbb{T}\mathcal{W}(m, n) = \bigoplus_{T \in \mathbb{T}(m, n)} \mathcal{W}(T) .$$

Here  $\mathbb{T}(m, n)$  are the isomorphism classes of  $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$  stable trees and

$$\mathcal{W}(T) = \bigotimes_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{W}(F(v)) .$$

For a more detailed discussion see Getzler and Kapranov [7].

**3.3. Partial Legendre transform.** Let  $\text{rk} : \Lambda^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[x, y]]$  be the homomorphism such that  $\text{rk}\left(p_1^{(1)}\right) = x$ ,  $\text{rk}\left(p_1^{(2)}\right) = y$  and  $\text{rk}\left(p_n^{(i)}\right) = 0$  for  $n > 1$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus if  $V$  is a representation of  $S_n \times S_m$  then

$$\text{rk}(\text{ch}_{m|n}(V)) = \frac{\dim V}{m!n!} x^m y^n .$$

Let  $\mathbb{Q}[[x, y]]_*$  be the power series of the form  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{i,j} x^i y^j$  where  $a_{2,0} \neq 0$  and  $a_{i,j} = 0$  if  $i < 2$  or  $i + j < 3$ . Let  $\Lambda_*^{(2)} = \text{rk}^{-1} \mathbb{Q}[[x, y]]_*$ .

To define the partial Legendre transform we first define a variant of plethysm;  $\circ_{(1)}$  which is an associative product on  $\Lambda^{(2)}$ :

- (1)  $f \mapsto f \circ_{(1)} g$  is a homomorphism  $\Lambda^{(2)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{(2)}$ , for any  $g \in \Lambda^{(2)}$
- (2)  $g \mapsto p_n^{(i)} \circ_{(1)} g$  is a homomorphism  $\Lambda^{(2)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{(2)}$ ,
- (3)  $p_n^{(1)} \circ_{(1)} p_k^{(i)} = p_{nk}^{(i)}$  and  $p_n^{(2)} \circ_{(1)} p_k^{(i)} = p_n^{(2)}$ .

For  $f \in \Lambda_*^{(2)}$  there is a unique  $g \in \Lambda_*^{(2)}$  satisfying the equation

$$(2) \quad g \circ_{(1)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_1^{(1)}} + f = p_1^{(1)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_1^{(1)}}.$$

For the existence and uniqueness we refer to Getzler and Kapranov [7, Theorem 7.15]. The proof in this case is completely analogous and goes through in almost the same way without any subtleties. We call the function  $g$  the partial Legendre transform of  $f$  and denote it by  $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}f$ .

A little bit of algebra shows that  $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}$  is an involution on  $\Lambda_*^{(2)}$ , that is  $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}f = f$ . We have the following result.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be an ungraded  $\mathbb{S}^2$  module such that  $\mathcal{W}(m, n) = 0$  if  $m < 2$  or  $m + n < 3$ .  $F = e_2^{(1)} - \text{ch}(\mathcal{W})$  and  $G = h_2^{(1)} + \text{ch}(\text{TW})$  are elements of  $\Lambda_*^{(2)}$  and  $G = \mathfrak{L}^{(1)}F$ .*

The proof of this proposition is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 7.17 of [7]. One can also look at Theorem 5.8 of [5] for a different proof.

#### 4. COHOMOLOGY OF THE INTERIOR

In this section we study the cohomology of the interior  $M_{0,m|n}$ . It is easy to see that

$$(3) \quad M_{0,m|n} \cong \left( (\mathbb{P}^1)^{m+n} \setminus \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{m+n} \Delta_{i,j} \right) \right) / \mathbf{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\mathbf{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  acts diagonally and  $\Delta_{i,j} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_{m+n}) \mid z_i = z_j\}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *When  $m \geq 3$ ,*

$$H^*(M_{0,m|n}) \cong H^*(M_{0,m}) \otimes H^*(P_m^n)$$

where  $P_m = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1, \dots, m\}$  is the  $m$  punctured projective plane. Moreover this decomposition respects the action of  $S_m \times S_n$ .

The mixed Hodge structure on  $H^i(M_{0,m|n})$  is pure of weight  $2i$ .

*Proof.* Consider the fiber bundle  $M_{0,m|(n+1)} \rightarrow M_{0,m|n}$  with fiber  $P_m$ .  $P_m$  is homotopic to a wedge of circles, hence a one dimensional C-W complex. The fundamental group of the base acts trivially on the fibers, (see Arnold [1]), hence in the Leray spectral sequence associated to the fibration we have

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(M_{0,m|n}) \otimes H^q(P_m).$$

Moreover the fiber bundle has a section given by

$$z_{m+n+1} = \frac{z_1 + \dots + z_m}{m} + 2 \left( \max_{1 \leq k, l \leq m} |z_k - z_l| \right) + 1.$$

It then follows that the only possible higher differential  $d_2$  is trivial and we have  $H^*(M_{0,m|(n+1)}) \cong H^*(M_{0,m|n}) \otimes H^*(P_m)$ . This completes the proof by induction on  $n$ .

The statement on the mixed Hodge structure of  $H^i(M_{0,m|n})$  follows from the fact that  $M_{0,m|n}$  is isomorphic to a complement of hyperplanes in a projective spaces. This can be seen from description (3).  $\square$

From the previous proposition it follows that the Poincaré polynomial of  $M_{0,m|n}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{M_{0,m|n}}(t)$ , is the product of the Poincaré polynomials of  $M_{0,m}$  and  $P_m^n$ . From Getzler [6, Section 5.6] we know that  $\mathcal{P}_{M_{0,m}}(t) = (1-2t)(1-3t) \cdots (1-(m-2)t)$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{P}_{P_m^n}(t) = (1-(m-1)t)^n$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{P}_{M_{0,m|n}}(t) = (1-(m-1)t)^n \prod_{k=2}^{m-2} (1-kt).$$

Proposition 4.1 gives a clear description of the  $H^*(M_{0,m|n})$  as a representation of  $S_m \times S_n$ .

First note that Getzler [6] determines completely the action of  $S_m$  on  $H^*(M_{0,m})$ , whereas  $S_n$  acts on it trivially.

Let  $C_n$  be the vector space generated by the letters  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ .  $S_n$  acts on it by permuting the letters.  $C_n$  is the direct sum of the standard representation and the trivial representation i.e.  $C_n = V_{(n-1,1)} \oplus V_{(n)}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *We have the following description of the  $S_m \times S_n$  action on the cohomology of  $P_m^n$ .*

$$H^k(P_m^n) \cong \left( \otimes^k V_{(m-1,1)} \right) \boxtimes (\wedge^k C_n) \\ \cong \begin{cases} V_{(m)} \boxtimes V_{(n)} , & k = 0 \\ \left( \otimes^k V_{(m-1,1)} \right) \boxtimes \left( V_{(n-k,1^k)} \oplus V_{(n-k+1,1^{k-1})} \right) , & 0 < k < n \\ \left( \otimes^n V_{(m-1,1)} \right) \boxtimes V_{(1^n)} , & k = n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.*  $S_m$  acts on  $P_m$  by permuting the punctures, so  $H^1(P_m)$  is the standard representation  $V_{m-1,1}$ .

On the other hand  $S_n$  acts on  $P_m^n$  by permuting the factors, thus  $H^1(P_m^n) \cong V_{m-1,1} \boxtimes C_n$  as a representation of  $S_m \times S_n$ . For  $k > 1$ ,  $H^k(P_m^n) \cong \left( \otimes^k H^1(P_m) \right) \boxtimes (\wedge^k C_n)$ .

The second isomorphism follows from the decomposition  $C_n = V_{(n-1,1)} \oplus V_{(n)}$ . Thus for  $k < n$  we have  $\wedge^k C_n = \left( \wedge^k V_{(n-1,1)} \right) \oplus \left( V_{(n)} \otimes \wedge^{k-1} V_{(n-1,1)} \right)$  and  $\wedge^n C_n = V_{(1^n)}$ . Finally it is a fact that  $\wedge^k V_{(n-1,1)} = V_{(n-k,1^k)}$ .  $\square$

The Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 together give us the following decomposition for  $m \geq 3$ ,

$$H^k(M_{0,m|n}) = \bigoplus_{l=0}^k H^{k-l}(M_{0,m}) \otimes H^l(P_m^n) \\ (4) \quad = \bigoplus_{l=0}^k \left( H^{k-l}(M_{0,m}) \otimes \left( \otimes^l V_{(m-1,1)} \right) \right) \boxtimes \left( \wedge^l C_n \right) .$$

In (4) we treat  $H^{k-l}(M_{0,m})$  as just a representation of  $S_m$ .

Hence we have the following relation for  $m \geq 3$

$$H^*(M_{0,m|n}) = \bigoplus_{l=0}^n \left( H^*(M_{0,m}) \otimes H^l(P_m^n) \right) \\ (5) \quad = \bigoplus_{l=0}^n \left( H^*(M_{0,m}) \otimes \left( \otimes^l V_{(m-1,1)} \right) \right) \boxtimes \left( \wedge^l C_n \right) .$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the  $\mathbb{S}$  module

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{M}(n) = \begin{cases} H^*(M_{0,n}) & n \geq 3, \\ 0 & n < 3. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathbf{m}_n = \text{ch}_t(\mathcal{M}(n))$  and  $\mathbf{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{m}_n = \text{ch}_t(\mathcal{M})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $\mathbb{S}^2$  module

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{G}(m, n) = \begin{cases} 0 , & m < 2 \text{ or } m + n < 3 \\ H^*(M_{0,m|n}) , & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be the differential operator as in Proposition 3.1. From (5) it follows that when  $k \geq 3$

$$(8) \quad \text{ch}_t(\mathcal{G}(k, n)) = \mathbf{m}_k^{(1)} s_n^{(2)} - t \mathbf{D} \mathbf{m}_k^{(1)} \left( s_n^{(2)} + s_{n-1,1}^{(2)} \right) + \dots + (-t)^n \left( \mathbf{D}^n \mathbf{m}_k^{(1)} \right) s_{1^n}^{(2)} .$$

$\overline{M}_{0,2|n}$  are the Losev-Manin spaces and were extensively studied in [9]. Note that

$$M_{0,2|n} \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n / \mathbb{C}^\times$$

where the quotient is taken under the diagonal action. It follows that  $H^1(M_{0,2|n}) \cong V_{(1,1)} \boxtimes V_{(n-1,1)}$  (see [3, Lemma 3.3]). As before  $H^k(M_{0,2|n}) \cong \wedge^k H^1(M_{0,2|n})$ . Thus

$$H^k(M_{0,2|n}) \cong \begin{cases} V_{(2)} \boxtimes V_{(n-k,1^k)} & k < n \text{ even} \\ V_{(1^2)} \boxtimes V_{(n-k,1^k)} & k < n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & k \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Hence it follows that

$$(9) \quad \text{ch}_t(\mathcal{G}(2, n)) = s_2^{(1)} s_n^{(2)} - t s_{1,1}^{(1)} s_{n-1,1}^{(2)} + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-t)^k \left( \mathbf{D}^k s_2^{(1)} \right) s_{n-k,1^k}^{(2)}.$$

Adding up  $\text{ch}_t(\mathcal{G}(k, l))$  for all  $k, l$  we get

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ch}_t(\mathcal{G}) &= \mathbf{m}^{(1)} + \left( \mathbf{m}^{(1)} + s_2^{(1)} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n^{(2)} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-t)^k \left( \mathbf{D}^k \mathbf{m}^{(1)} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} s_{n-k+1,1^{k-1}}^{(2)} + \mathbf{D}^k \left( \mathbf{m}^{(1)} + s_2^{(1)} \right) \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} s_{n-k,1^k}^{(2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

## 5. COHOMOLOGY OF $\overline{M}_{0,m|n}$

In this section we shall determine the action of  $S_m \times S_n$  on the cohomology of  $\overline{M}_{0,m|n}$ . To do this let us introduce the  $S^2$  module  $\mathcal{W}$ ,

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{H}(m, n) = \begin{cases} 0, & m < 2 \text{ or } m + n < 3 \\ H^*(\overline{M}_{0,m|n}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall derive a formula relating  $\text{ch}_t(\mathcal{G})$  (see (6)) and  $\text{ch}_t(\mathcal{H})$  using the partial Legendre transform.

Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety and  $\emptyset \subset X_0 \subset \dots \subset X_n = X$  a filtration on it by closed subvarieties  $X_p \subset X$ . Then there is a spectral sequence in cohomology with compact support (see Petersen [11, Section 1]),

$$E_1^{p,q} = H_c^{p+q}(X_p \setminus X_{p-1}) \implies H_c^{p+q}(X).$$

The differentials of this spectral sequence are compatible with the mixed Hodge structures. Further if a finite group  $G$  acts on  $X$  and keeps each  $X_p$  invariant then  $E_j^{p,q}$  has an action of  $G$  and the differentials  $d_j$  are  $G$  equivariant.

In our situation let  $X = \overline{M}_{0,m|n}$  and  $X_p$  be the union of all strata of dimension at most  $p$

$$X_p = \bigsqcup_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}(m,n) \\ |E(T)|=m+n-3-p}} \overline{M}(T).$$

Clearly

$$X_p \setminus X_{p-1} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}(m,n) \\ |E(T)|=m+n-3-p}} M(T).$$

Thus

$$(12) \quad E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}(m,n) \\ |E(T)|=m+n-3-p}} H_c^{p+q}(M(T)).$$

From Proposition 4.1 and Poincaré duality it follows that the mixed Hodge structure on  $H_c^i(M_{0,m|n})$  is pure of weight  $2(i - m - n + 3)$ . This implies that  $E_1^{p,q}$  has a pure Hodge structure of weight  $2q$ . Hence the Spectral sequence collapses in the  $E_2$  page

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong E_{\infty}^{p,q}.$$

Moreover, from the fact that all the cohomology of  $\overline{M}_{0,m|n}$  is algebraic it follows that

$$(13) \quad E_2^{p,p} \cong H^{2p}(\overline{M}_{0,m|n}) \quad \text{and} \quad E_2^{p,q} = 0 \text{ if } p \neq q .$$

Thus there is a resolution

$$(14) \quad H^{2p}(\overline{M}_{0,m|n}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}(m,n) \\ |E(T)|=m+n-3-p}} H_c^{2p}(M(T)) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_c^{m+n-3+p}(M_{0,m|n}) .$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let*

$$F = t^{-6} \text{ch}_t(\mathcal{G}) \Big|_{\substack{t \rightarrow t^{-2} \\ p_n^{(i)} \rightarrow t^{2n} p_n^{(i)}}} ,$$

then  $h_2^{(1)} + \text{ch}_t(\mathcal{H}) = \mathfrak{L}^{(1)} \left( e_2^{(1)} - F \right)$ .

*Remark.* Note that  $(e_2^{(1)} - F) \in \Lambda_*^{(2)}[[t]]$ . More over  $\circ_{(1)}$  extends to  $\Lambda_*^{(2)}[[t]]$  in a natural way:  $p_n^{(1)} \circ_{(1)} t = t^n$  and  $p_n^{(2)} \circ_{(1)} t = p_n^{(2)}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}$  makes sense on  $\Lambda_*^{(2)}[[t]]$ .

*Proof.* As in [6, section 5.8] we shall consider (graded)  $\mathbb{S}^2$  modules  $\mathcal{V}$  with a further  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{(1)}$ . In this case define

$$\text{ch}_t(\mathcal{V}) = \text{ch}_t(\mathcal{V}_{(0)}) - \text{ch}_t(\mathcal{V}_{(1)}) .$$

By Poincaré duality  $H_c^k(M_{0,m|n}) \cong H^{2m+2n-6-k}(M_{0,m|n})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}(-m-n+3)$  where  $\mathbb{C}(-\ell)$  is the  $\ell$ -fold tensor power of the dual of the Tate Hodge structure. Thus  $H_c^k(M_{0,m|n})$  has pure Hodge structure of weight  $2(k-m-n+3)$ .

Define the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded  $\mathbb{S}^2$  module  $\mathcal{V}$  as follows

$$\mathcal{V}(m,n) = 0 \text{ if } m < 2 \text{ or } m+n < 3 ,$$

otherwise

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{(0)}(m,n) &= \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_c^{2k}(M_{0,m|n}) \\ \mathcal{V}_{(1)}(m,n) &= \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_c^{2k+1}(M_{0,m|n}) . \end{aligned}$$

Here we consider  $H_c^k(M_{0,m|n})$  with weight grading for the mixed Hodge structure on it, that is  $H_c^k(M_{0,m|n})$  is the  $2(k-m-n+3)$  graded component. Then

$$F = \text{ch}_t(\mathcal{V}) .$$

The construction  $\mathbb{T}$  of Section 3.2 extends naturally to  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded  $\mathbb{S}^2$  modules (tensor product of odd and odd is even, even and even is even where as that of odd and even is odd). Proposition 3.2 generalises to the case of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded  $\mathbb{S}^2$  modules.

If we add up all the terms of the spectral sequence (12) placing  $E_1^{p,q}$  in bi-degree  $2q, (p+q) \bmod 2$  we get the graded vector space  $\mathbb{T}\mathcal{V}(m,n)$ . The differential  $d_1 : E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}$  gives a differential on  $\mathbb{T}\mathcal{V}(m,n)$  and the resolution (14) shows that  $H^*(\overline{M}_{0,m|n})$  is the homology of of the complex  $(\mathbb{T}\mathcal{V}(m,n), d_1)$ .

Hence  $\text{ch}_t(\overline{M}_{0,m|n}) = \text{ch}_t(\mathbb{T}\mathcal{V}(m,n))$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. CALCULATIONS

Recall the  $\mathbb{S}^2$  modules  $\mathcal{G}$  from (7) and  $\mathcal{H}$  from (11). In this section we compute the first few terms of the characteristics of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  and list them in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively.

Formula (10) gives a recipe for calculating  $\text{ch}_t(\mathcal{G})$  from  $\text{ch}_t(\mathcal{M})$ . The  $\mathbb{S}$  module  $\mathcal{M}$  was defined in (6). The action of  $S_n$  on  $H^*(M_{0,n})$  was calculated in Getzler [6] (see Theorem 5.7). Let  $\mu$  be the Möbius function, and let  $R_n(t) = (1/n) \sum_{d \text{ divides } n} \mu(n/d)/t^d$ . Further let  $\kappa$  be the linear



operator on  $\Lambda$  which is 0 on the 0th, 1st and 2nd graded components of  $\Lambda$  and identity on the rest. Then

$$\mathrm{ch}_t(\mathcal{M}) = \kappa \left( \frac{1 + tp_1}{1 - t^2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + t^n p_n)^{R_n(t)} \right).$$

Thus using (10) we can calculate the first few terms of  $\mathrm{ch}_t(\mathcal{G})$ . Of course  $\mathrm{ch}_t(\mathcal{G}(k, n))$  starts to be interesting when  $k \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2$ . In table Table 1 we list these terms for  $k + n \leq 6$ .

Using Theorem 5.1 we can in principle determine  $\mathrm{ch}_t(\mathcal{H})$ . The theorem gives a fixed point formula and first few terms of  $\mathrm{ch}_t(\mathcal{H})$  can be obtained from  $\mathrm{ch}_t(\mathcal{G})$  by performing several iterations. Again the terms  $\mathrm{ch}_t(\mathcal{H}(k, n))$  for  $n = 1$  can be easily computed and are uninteresting. We list the terms corresponding to  $k + n \leq 6$  and  $n > 1$  in Table 2.

The calculations involving symmetric functions were done using the Maple package SF [12] by Stembridge.

$(m, n)$	$\text{ch}_t(H^*(M_{0,m n}))$
$(3, 2)$	$s_3^{(1)} s_2^{(2)} - t s_{2,1}^{(1)} (s_2^{(2)} + s_{1^2}^{(2)}) + t^2 (s_3^{(1)} + s_{2,1}^{(1)} + s_{1^3}^{(1)}) s_{1^2}^{(2)}$
$(3, 3)$	$s_3^{(1)} s_3^{(2)} - t s_{2,1}^{(1)} (s_3^{(2)} + s_{2,1}^{(2)}) + t^2 (s_3^{(1)} + s_{2,1}^{(1)} + s_{1^3}^{(1)}) (s_{2,1}^{(2)} + s_{1^3}^{(2)}) - t^3 (s_3^{(1)} + 3s_{2,1}^{(2)} + s_{1^3}^{(2)}) s_{1^3}^{(2)}$
$(4, 2)$	$s_4^{(1)} s_2^{(2)} - t (s_{2^2}^{(1)} s_2^{(2)} + s_{3,1}^{(1)} (s_2^{(2)} + s_{1^2}^{(2)})) + t^2 ((s_4^{(1)} + s_{2^2}^{(1)}) s_{1^2}^{(2)} + (s_{3,1}^{(1)} + s_{2,1^2}^{(1)}) (s_2^{(2)} + 2s_{1^2}^{(2)})) - t^3 (s_4^{(1)} + 2s_{2,2}^{(1)} + 2s_{3,1}^{(1)} + 2s_{2,1^2}^{(1)} + s_{1^4}^{(1)}) s_{1^2}^{(2)}$

TABLE 1. Equivariant Poincaré polynomial for the interior

$(m, n)$	$\text{ch}_t(H^*(\overline{M}_{0,m n}))$	Poincaré Polynomial
$(2, 2)$	$(1 + t^2) s_2^{(1)} s_2^{(2)}$	$1 + t^2$
$(2, 3)$	$(1 + t^4) s_2^{(1)} s_3^{(2)} + t^2 (s_2^{(1)} (s_3^{(2)} + s_{2,1}^{(2)}) + s_{1^2}^{(1)} s_3^{(2)})$	$1 + 4t^2 + t^4$
$(3, 2)$	$(1 + t^4) s_3^{(1)} s_2^{(2)} + t^2 (s_3^{(1)} (2s_2^{(2)} + s_{1^2}^{(2)}) + s_{2,1}^{(1)} s_2^{(2)})$	$1 + 5t^2 + t^4$
$(2, 4)$	$(1 + t^6) s_2^{(1)} s_4^{(2)} + (t^2 + t^4) (s_2^{(1)} (2s_4^{(2)} + s_{3,1}^{(2)} s_{2^2}^{(2)}) + s_{1^2}^{(1)} (s_4^{(2)} + s_{3,1}^{(2)}))$	$1 + 11t^2 + 11t^4 + t^6$
$(3, 3)$	$(1 + t^6) s_3^{(1)} s_3^{(2)} + (t^2 + t^4) (s_3^{(1)} (3s_3^{(2)} + 2s_{2,1}^{(2)}) + s_{2,1}^{(1)} (2s_3^{(2)} + s_{2,1}^{(2)}))$	$1 + 15t^2 + 15t^4 + t^6$
$(4, 2)$	$(1 + t^6) s_4^{(1)} s_2^{(2)} + (t^2 + t^4) (s_4^{(1)} (4s_2^{(2)} + s_{1^2}^{(2)}) + s_{3,1}^{(1)} (2s_2^{(2)} + s_{1^2}^{(2)}) + s_{2,2}^{(1)} s_2^{(2)})$	$1 + 16t^2 + 16t^4 + t^6$

TABLE 2. Equivariant Poincaré polynomial of  $\overline{M}_{0,m|n}$

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnol'd, *The cohomology ring of the group of dyed braids*, Mat. Zametki **5** (1969), 227–231 (Russian).
- [2] J. Bergström and S. Minabe, *On the cohomology of moduli spaces of (weighted) stable rational curves*, Math. Z. **275** (2013), no. 3-4, 1095–1108.
- [3] ———, *On the cohomology of the Losev-Manin moduli space*, Manuscripta Math. **144** (2014), no. 1-2, 241–252.
- [4] Ö. Ceyhan, *Chow groups of the moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves of genus zero*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 6, 1964–1978.
- [5] C. Chaudhuri, *Topological Bounds on Certain Open Subvarieties of the compactified Moduli Space of Curves*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Northwestern University.
- [6] E. Getzler, *Operads and moduli spaces of genus 0 Riemann surfaces*, The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994), Progr. Math., vol. 129, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995, pp. 199–230.
- [7] E. Getzler and M. M. Kapranov, *Modular operads*, Compositio Math. **110** (1998), no. 1, 65–126.
- [8] B. Hassett, *Moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves*, Adv. Math. **173** (2003), no. 2, 316–352.
- [9] A. Losev and Y. Manin, *New moduli spaces of pointed curves and pencils of flat connections*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 443–472. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.
- [10] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky; Oxford Science Publications.
- [11] D. Petersen, *The structure of the tautological ring in genus one* (2012), available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.1586>.
- [12] J. Stembridge, *The SF package*, available at <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~jrs/maple.html>. A Maple package to do symbolic calculations with symmetric functions.